Art Unit: 2162

REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested. Claims 1-20 are pending in

this application. Claims 1-10 stand rejected. Claims 11-20 were withdrawn from consideration

as being directed to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Takahashi

(USP 6,952,522).

This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Independent Claim 1

With regard to the claimed feature of "predetermined information is first recorded in a

work sector before performing primary recording as well as the number of mountings of the file

system is further recorded in the work sector," regarding Applicants' argument that:

Specifically, Takahashi only describes, in col.5, lines 56-63, a general

explanation of the FAT system and it is not related to the feature of the present claimed invention. Takahashi teaches which area the main information is recorded on and Takahashi is different from the present claimed invention in the

method of recording the directory for controlling the location of the main

information (Please see col.6, lines 9-40 of Takahashi),

The Examiner alleges that, on page 2 of the Action:

Takahashi discloses the FAT is the one to store the information and the file is

stored separately from the FAT. Such disclosure read on the claimed limitation

(Col. 5, lines 56-63).

- 2 -

Art Unit: 2162

In other words, the Examiner appears to interpret the above-mentioned claimed feature as

the general FAT system disclosed in Takahashi. However, it is submitted that the Examiner

misunderstands the feature of the present claims.

Firstly, the main point of the present claimed invention is as follows;

The present claimed invention relates to a provision in case that the READ (recording)

can not be performed for the corresponding sectors because of the power cut-off or vibration and

so on while rewriting the sector of a directory. For this, the contents which are going to be

written in the sector of a directory and the address of the sector are beforehand written in another

area (a work sector area) and it is going to recover by overwriting the sector which is under

rewriting by the contents in the work sector at the time of restarting after the power cut-off and

so on.

The present claim recites, "predetermined information is first recorded in a work sector

before performing primary recording as well as the number of mountings of the file system is

further recorded in the work sector." Then, with this claimed feature, original information can be

recovered on the basis of information recorded in the work sector, and further, information about

the number of mountings is also recorded in the work sector, preventing information from being

recovered from an incorrect work sector. (Please see the paragraph [0016] of the present

application.)

- 3 -

Request for Reconsideration under 37 CFR §1.116

Art Unit: 2162 Attorney Docket No.: 063113

The number of mountings is important for the recovery as mentioned above.

Generally, the "mounting" means that it reads the Master Boot Record (MBR) of a hard

disk drive device and makes a file system recognize and finally an operation of a hard disk drive

is achieved.

Application No.: 10/594,973

Then, regarding the mounting process, it is described in the paragraph [0045] of the

present application, "The mounting process of the hard disk 21 is a process to enable the digital

processing unit 2 to use the file system in the hard disk 21."

The number of the processes is the number of mountings.

And when there is a work sector in which the number of mountings is not incremented

under the influence of power supply cutoff, vibration and so on, it is smaller than the number of

mountings of other work sectors (header), namely, it will not be the maximum, and even if the

work sector shows self as an effective work sector, it will be judged that it is invalid.

Thus, the number of mountings is necessary for judging it which is an effective work

sector.

- 4 -

Art Unit: 2162

Again, claim 1 calls for the claimed feature of "predetermined information is first recorded in a work sector before performing primary recording as well as the number of mountings of the file system is further recorded in the work sector" (emphasis added).

On page 3, item 4 of the Action, the Examiner alleges, by relying on Col. 5, lines 56-63 of Takahashi, that:

[T]he location and attributes such as the number, the recording data & time and the file name of each file on the disc are controlled by referencing the FAT 11. The FAT 11 is used for controlling the information on files in smallest access units, typically sector units, used by the system, that is, the signal recording /playback apparatus for making an access to disc...

However, the alleged descriptions of Takahashi do not disclose the claimed feature of "the number of mountings of the file system is further recorded in the work sector." A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference. Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California, 814 F.2d 628, 631, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987).

Specifically, the alleged paragraph of Takahashi describes:

In order to control files on the disc, the system controller 1 is provided with a FAT (File Allocation Table) 11. The location and attributes such as the number, the recording date & time and the file name of each file on the disc are controlled by referencing the FAT 11. The FAT 11 is used for controlling the information on files in smallest access units, typically sector units, used by the system, that is, the signal recording/playback apparatus for making an access to the disc. It should be noted that, in this embodiment, 1 sector is 2,048 bytes (or 2K bytes) in size (emphasis added).

Request for Reconsideration under 37 CFR §1.116

Art Unit: 2162 Attorney Docket No.: 063113

Because Takahashi utilizes an ordinary FAT (File Allocation Table) 11 (please see Col. 6,

lines 9-12), the number should be directed to a sector number. Moreover, such attribute

<u>information</u> is more specifically described by Col. 6, lines 17-23:

Application No.: 10/594,973

FIG. 4 is a table showing an example of file system control information.

Provided for a file, each entry of the table includes <u>attribute information of the</u> file such as the name of the file (that is, the name of a program stored in the file),

a recording date & time, a recording channel, a recording time and a first sector

of the file as shown in the figure (emphasis added).

Furthermore, Fig. 4 indicates the sector number as "HEAD SECTOR NUMBER."

On the contrary, in the present claimed invention, the number of mountings of the file

system is further recorded in the work sector. Moreover, this prevents information from being

recovered from an incorrect work sector as described in the specification (page 3, bottom line to

page 4, line 2).

The **HEAD SECTOR NUMBER** of the file of Takahashi is DIFFERENT FROM "the

number of mountings of the file system."

In view of the above, Takahashi fails to disclose or fairly suggest the claimed feature of

"predetermined information is first recorded in a work sector before performing primary

recording as well as the number of mountings of the file system is further recorded in the work

sector," as called for in claim 1.

- 6 -

Request for Reconsideration under 37 CFR §1.116 Attorney Docket No.: 063113

Application No.: 10/594,973

Art Unit: 2162

Accordingly, claim 1 distinguishes over Takahashi.

Claims 2-6 are dependent from claim 1 and recite the additional features set forth therein.

Accordingly, claims 2-6 also distinguish over Takahashi for at least the reasons set forth above.

Independent Claim 7

With regard to the claimed feature of "information about the directory is written in the

predetermined unit a plurality of times such that the plurality of the information about the

directory written in the predetermined unit are separated from each other by a predetermined

offset," the Examiner alleges that, on page 5 of the Action:

An additional signal is not split into segments to be each recorded into a free area with a length smaller than a predetermined value, typically a equivalent of

1-minute recording/play back length (Col. 5, lines 36-39).

However, as alleged by Applicants in the previous Response filed on December 24, 2008,

Takahashi does not teach recording of "the information about the directory" (please see page 18

of the Response). The Examiner fails to address this claimed feature.

Takahashi relates to a technology for recording and playing back signals such as video

and audio signals into and from an erasable recording medium.

- 7 -

Art Unit: 2162

The first problem addressed by Takahashi is that a reproduced video or audio signal is

broken due to a seek time, a rotation wait time and a settle time if the video or audio signal is

recorded into a recording medium being split into segments as shown in Fig. 21(1). As the

second problem, even if a read buffer memory is provided for storing in advance the read out

signal in order to prevent the playback signal from being broken, the amount of data stored in

advance in the read buffer memory is not large enough in the case the recorded signal is split into

a large number of segments as shown in Fig. 21(2).

In order to solve the problems, Takahashi provides a signal recording method whereby, in

an operation to record a signal onto a recording medium, the signal is recorded in continuous free

areas each having a size equal to or larger than a predetermined recording/playback time length

(Col. 2, lines 6-11). This method of Takahashi is further described in the Examiner's alleged

disclosure of Col. 5 lines 36-42 as:

As shown in the figure, an additional signal is not split into segments to be each recorded into a free area with a length smaller than a predetermined value,

typically an equivalent of the 1-minute recording/playback time length. Instead, the additional signal is recorded into a continuous free area with a length equal

to or larger than the 1-minute recording/playback time length (emphasis added).

Accordingly, Takahashi is solely directed to recording and playing back of a continuous

data signal such as video signal or audio signal. Moreover, while the Examiner relies on Col. 5

lines 36-39 of Takahashi, the alleged "additional signal" should be directed to the continuous

data signal such as video signal or audio signal.

-8-

Request for Reconsideration under 37 CFR §1.116 Attorney Docket No.: 063113

Application No.: 10/594,973 Request for Reconsider Art Unit: 2162

On the contrary, an object of the present claimed invention is to make possible

writing/reading of the information about the directory in a file system which manages files

hierarchically by files on which a main information is recorded and a directory which is a storage

place of a plurality of files, even if there are accidents, such as power supply cutoff. In other

words, the feature of the present claimed invention is in the method of recording directory

information. The information about the directory is DIFFERENT FROM the video or audio

<u>signal</u>.

In view of the above, Takahashi fails to disclose or fairly suggest the claimed feature of

"information about the directory is written in the predetermined unit a plurality of times such

that the plurality of the information about the directory written in the predetermined unit are

separated from each other by a predetermined offset," as called for in claim 7.

Accordingly, claim 7 distinguishes over Takahashi.

Claims 8-10 are dependent from claim 7 and recite the additional features set forth

therein. Accordingly, claims 8-10 also distinguish over Takahashi for at least the reasons set

forth above.

- 9 -

Request for Reconsideration under 37 CFR §1.116

Attorney Docket No.: 063113

Art Unit: 2162

Application No.: 10/594,973

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that all pending claims are in condition for

allowance. A prompt and favorable reconsideration of the rejection and an indication of

allowability of all pending claims are earnestly solicited.

If the Examiner believes that this application is not now in condition for allowance, the

Examiner is requested to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney to arrange for an interview to

expedite the disposition of this case.

If this paper is not timely filed, Applicants respectfully petition for an appropriate

extension of time. The fees for such an extension or any other fees that may be due with respect

to this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-2866.

Respectfully submitted,

WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP

/ Tsuyoshi NAKAMURA /

Tsuyoshi Nakamura Limited Recognition No. L0396

Telephone: (202) 822-1100

Facsimile: (202) 822-1111

TN/ya

Encl.: Limited Recognition

- 10 -